

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,407	11/05/2003	Chad M. Orthaus	71-810-1	5866
7590 07/12/2004			EXAMINER	
Steven W. Weinrieb SCHWARTZ & WEINRIEB			HARTMANN, GARY S	
Crystal Plaza One, suite 1109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2001 Jefferson I Arlington, VA			3671	
3 , 332			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/701,407	ORTHAUS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INC DATE of this	Gary Hartmann	3671					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 No	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 November 2003</u> .						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	<i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-23 and 25-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) <u>14-17,19-22,25,26,28-31,33 and 34</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>18,23,27 and 32</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 November 2003</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	,						
1440 ch (-)							
Attachment(s)) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO 413)							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pate	ent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/5/3.	6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/701,407

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it refers to the markers, rather than the apparatus and method as presently claimed. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14, 20, 21, 29, 30, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eigenmann (U.S. Patent 4,792,259). Eigenmann discloses an apparatus and method for serially dispensing and applying an array of pavement markers (A). There are means (reel) for forming an array such that a plurality of pavement markers (A) are respectively adhered to a single release sheet (B) with a block of adhesive (see second paragraph of abstract, for example) mounted to underside portions of the markers. There are means (C) for causing a leading one of the markers to be separated and removed to from the release sheet.

The markers are within the scope of the term "temporary."

There is a stripper plate (C).

The roller is deemed to meet the recitation of "indexable roller."

Application/Control Number: 10/701,407

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-17, 19, 22, 25, 26, 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eigenmann as applied above, and further in view of applicant's Figure 1. As applicant shows, L-shaped pavement markers are well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used L-shaped pavement markers for the markers of Eigenmann in order to suit a desired marking application, as is common in the art.

Regarding the nesting configuration, it is well known to store L-shaped devices in a nested configuration in order to minimize space (stacked chairs, for example, which have an L-shaped back/seat configuration). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have nested the known L-shaped markers in order to minimize storage space.

The lateral width dimension of the sheet of Eigenmann is greater than that of the markers.

Regarding the program logic controller, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used this with the apparatus of Eigenmann in order to efficiently dispense the markers and reduce labor necessary to do so, as is known in the art.

Art Unit: 3671

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18, 23, 27 and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gh

Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671